

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/425, 992	10/21/99	MEIER	K SPTV-010380US

TM01/0829

BURT MAGEN
VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS HARMON & DENIRO LLP
685 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2671

DATE MAILED: 08/29/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/425,992

Applicant

MEIER

Examiner

Phu K. Nguyen

Art Unit

2671



— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 21, 1999

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle* 1035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 11-99 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 11-99 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 1 and 12

20) Other: _____

Phu K. Nguyen
PHU K. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2400

Art Unit: 2671

1. In reviewing the application, it is noted that the IDS submitted on February 12, 2001 (Paper No. 9) has been missing from the file. Applicant is requested to provide a copy of this IDS and its references in response to this office action.
2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOEZzi et al. (5,850,352).

As per claim 11, Moezzi teaches the claimed “method for annotating video” comprising the steps of:

“Receiving video” (Moezzi, figure 1a);

“Receiving a graphic manually created by a human operator” (Moezzi, figures 9a-9c); and

“Blending said grqaphic with said video” (Moezzi, figures 9a-9c).

It is noted that Moezzi does not teach “said video depicts a surface at said live event” as claimed. However, Moezzi’s environmental model builder (figures 10a-10h) suggests “a surface” at the live event as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure Moezzi’s method as claimed by using the environmental model builder to build “a surface” at the live event.

Art Unit: 2671

Claims 12- 32 add into claim 11 the deatisl of blending process which Moezzi suggests in figures 10-14.

Due to the similarity of claims 33-99 to claims 11-32 they are rejected under a similar reason. Accordingly, the claimed invention as represented in the claims does not represent a patentable distinction over the art of record.

Conclusion

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)-308-9051 (**formal** communications intended for entry), Or:

(703)-305-9724 (**informal** communications labeled **PROPOSED** or **DRAFT**).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Sixth Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu K. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703)-305-9796 and can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 3 PM.

Art Unit: 2671

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Phu K. Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2671

Phu K. Nguyen
PHU K. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2400